

Deborah.Easterling

From: Jocelyn.Boyd
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:57 PM
To: Deborah.Easterling
Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com
Subject: FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of June Yanick
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: Jocelyn.Boyd
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

RECEIVED

SEP 26 2013

PSC SC
MAIL / DMS

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Howard
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Randall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of June Yanick <j.yanick@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 4:48 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Fleming
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

I would love to see our state join the 21st century with expansion of energy alternatives.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. June Yanick
211 Bransfield Rd
Greenville, SC 29615-2907
(864) 292-6338